SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THE BIBLE

Before we get to specific SCIENTIFIC facts let’s make one point: The God of Israel as manifested in human form of Jesus Christ doesn’t force or coerce into believing like say “the religion of peace” (as long as you agree your head can stay on your shoulders). Jesus makes this very clear of an all or nothing belief system in Luke 11:23:

He that is not with me is against me: and he that gathereth not with me scattereth.

In other words there is NO compromise. The main reason being that if you can “compromise” like say the sun god worshipping Catholic Church is currently doing on “evolution” you can call God a little liar. As a result, the whole Word of God collapses like a house of cards since you’ve granted yourself the ability to question Him and His edicts, laws, etc. not mention you’ve just called Him a liar…

And just in case you’re wondering the LAWS of science don’t change (we’ll see words like ASSUME and conjecture creep into this discussion subsequently) since they are God’s LAWS. And He doesn’t change as He states in Numbers:

God is not a man that he should lie; neither the son of man, that he should repent: hath he said, and shall not he do it? Or hath he spoken and shall not make it good? Behold I have received commandment to bless: and he hath blessed: and I cannot reverse it (Verses 23:19-20)

Let’s begin.

In the “THEorY of LIVEvolution” a whole section was dedicated to establishing the Bible as a SCIENTIFIC book. Since the book was too long much of the information had to be edited out. Some of this information may overlap but hopefully most is new. If you don’t have a copy than all of this will be new. Now of course the arch-enemy of Biblical Science is the THEorY of LIVEvolution. Since this is a scientific discussion I’ll try not to say things like evolution as science (there really is a spiritual underpinning to it that was covered in “The THEorY of LIVEvolution” and is represented on the top of the home page here) is so bad, so irrational that its ONLY purpose can be the evisceration of God, the real One. But I won’t. In fact let’s take a passage from “The THEorY of LIVEvolution” that deep sixes evolution with math and science with no mention of God since according to evolution extraordinairre Richard Dawkins He doesn’t exist or according to many “New” Age loons, including the apostate Catholic Church, the Genesis account is compatible with gradual evolution. (There are multiple reasons why Genesis must be over the course of one literal week just like it says. For example, God mentions night and day implying singular, 24-hour days. There is no compromise here; take it or leave it). The concept of gradual evolution simply cannot work for the simplest protein molecule; much a fully functioning human being (or any other animal for that matter):

Debunking evolution leads to the only other alternative, the existence of an all-powerful God. No other religion stakes the claim to a creator like Christianity (and Judaism) via the account of Genesis. But I digress before delving into the main body of this topic. Let’s leave Christianity out of the equation and allow other sciences, all secular, to conflict over the THEorY of LIVEvolution. According to the latest data, astronomers estimate the universe at approximately 13 to 15 billion years old. Let’s reference the fields of statistics and biochemistry and incorporate the field of astrophysics. The chance of only 100 amino acids aligning together in the correct sequence - less than a simple protein useful in the production of life - is 1 in 10 to 157th power. Astrophysicists estimate that there are 10 to 80th power infinitesimal “particles” in the universe. They estimate that the earth is no older than 30 billion years (10 to 18th power seconds) old. (A case was already made that it is much much younger). Generously allowing every particle to participate in one thousand billion (10 to 12th power) events per second yields 10^80 x 10^18 x 10^12 or 10 to the 110th power (you add exponents in this case), whatever that number is called. It is still 10 to the 47th power away from forming less than the simplest protein. In other words, evolution is statistically impossible even generously granting it the greatest leeway. There’s literally not enough time and if there were it’s virtually statistically impossible for anything from
the simplest protein molecule much less fully developed cells and whole organisms. Well this is ridiculous you may say. Many intellectuals and scientists are such ardent supporters of it. Surely they all can’t be fooled, right? Well there always have been and currently are many scientists who regard evolution as the complete farce that it is. Unfortunately, you will rarely hear this from our major media outlets. Does the radical environmental movement ring a bell? And in our “free” public schools, questioning it is literally tantamount to breaking the law of “separation of church and state”. Very much similar, there are numerous economic experts and politicians who believe in our Federal Reserve Bank even though it is illegal, a blatant scam and designed to fail. Again there are those who have and are speaking vehemently out against the “Fed” but they are marginalized, ignored, regarded as “conspiracy kooks” or, as shown, much worse. Don’t forget Satan wants you to obey, submit, sleep. There are even evolutionists who state life and all order as we know it could not have sprung up by chance. English secular biochemist Fred Hoyle sums it up, “The notion that…the operating program of a living cell could be arrived at by chance in a primordial organic soup here on Earth is evidently nonsense of the highest order.” And this guy’s a supporter. As we see, astronomers, statisticians and biochemists essentially deep six evolution without Creationists firing a shot. And as we will see, many secular scientists agree! This alone is enough to close the case on evolution yet it is taught as scientific truth. I mean how does an evolutionist reconcile the fact that their beloved theory of order out of chaos for even the simplest building block of life is temporally and statistically impossible? I have even left Christianity out of the argument for now. Again, remember that this movement is about religion and not science.

As an aside the “religion of peace” realizes this whole problem and conveniently “borrows” the first five books of the Bible to account for Creation. Then of course it goes off on a wild tangent of male dominance, suicide bombing and beheadings in honor of its lunatic polygamist suicidal murdering prophet, Mohammed. But I digress. Just like with the situation when one overlays Al Gore’s magical graphs and CO2 increases are shown to lag temperature increases (i.e., the exact OPPOSITE of what should happen were CO2 caused global warming true) there is nothing else to say here. What of the “old earth” geologist “experts” and the astronomy “experts” like Carl Sagan? Well let’s have a look.

First we should probably cite a Biblical reference where God states the obvious in a concept he terms “general revelation” or what this “conspiracy theorist” likes to call common sense. Here is Paul writing in the Book of Romans:

Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them: for God hath shown it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen being understood by the thing that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools. And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and to fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves: Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever, Amen. (Verses 19-25)

So what God is saying is look in the mirror for proof that I exist and if you ignore this obvious sign then you’ll begin to do things like worship “Mother Earth” and animals (i.e., “the creature”). Here we can see the direct connection to the “New” Age loons that have manifested themselves in the eco wacko radical environmental movement all supported by the “science” of evolution. Hmmm.

Let’s cut right to the chase and look at the field of geology. There are two basic view of geology:

1. The so-called naturalistic (God doesn’t exist)
2. The Biblical (God made everything just like the Bible says).

The former is rooted in something called uniformitarianism that states simply that the present processes are key to understanding the past and that all geologic features arose over millions of years. Now of course
this is the belief of geologists worldwide not based on any concrete evidence but because this is what everyone else believes and this is what is taught. In a nutshell, herd mentality and NEVER question the “experts” like say those running our (fake fiat based) economy. DON’T QUESTION AUTHORITY. STAY ASLEEP. CONFORM. Now where have we heard this before? Geologist Tas Walker sums up the situation in the Foreword to “Flood by Design”:

That is one reason I found Mike Oard’s book so powerful. He describes things that ordinary people can see when they drive around the countryside, stand at a lookout, study a map, or watch a movie. To appreciate the thrust of Mike’s case, you do not need to be especially trained in geology or geotechnology, or to be familiar with rubidium/strontium dating or other complex sounding scientific ideas. You just have to look around observing the amazing landforms in your world, and imagine what happened in the past, using your common sense.

Although Mike deals with well-known landforms, he reveals a well-kept geographical secret- that the common landforms in the world today are still not satisfactorily explained after almost 200 years of study. That includes mountains, plateaus, water gaps, valleys with vertical walls, the continental shelves, and more. And he shows why: it’s because the underlying philosophy used by mainstream geologists and geographers does not work. (1)

Now 200 years puts right smack in the middle of the ironically named “Enlightenment” where another parallel gem of wisdom known as The THEORY of LIVEvolution began to rear its ugly head. Prior to this “Enlightenment” (or ILLUMINATION) God’s word of Creation was taken at face value particularly in the Late Great USA. Now we can’t have that we need science to tell us we’re all piles of random chemical mutations and stardust and thereby worthless and in fact detrimental to “Mother Earth” so a few million people starving to death for ethanol isn’t so bad…oops sorry different subject. So where can this rebellion of “expert” geologists against the general revelation of God, the real One be traced? Well it turns out two well-known geologists, James Hutton and Charles Lyell who lived in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, respectively, are to blame. According to author and geologist Mike Oard:

It was assumed they base uniformitarianism on objective fieldwork and reason, while their catastrophist contemporaries are portrayed as motivated by blind religious zeal.

But this story is a fabrication. Even the staunch evolutionist Stephen J. Gould (1987) admitted that Lyell had an intense antagonism towards the Genesis Flood. Such an antagonism is not being objective. Both Hutton and Lyell had a personal agenda - a powerful, all encompassing bias - according to Gould (1987, p. 9-10):

I shall try to show that Hutton and Lyell, traditional discoverers of deep time in the British tradition, were motivated as much (or more) by such a division about time, as by superior knowledge of rocks in the field. Indeed, I shall show that their vision stand prior – logically, psychologically, and in the otogeny (development) of their thoughts – to their attempts at empirical support. (2)

In other words, they had a bone to pick with the Book of Genesis and God Himself for that matter and took this assumption to support their conclusions, the exact opposite of the scientific method which demands the best possible conclusion be drawn from the available evidence. It’s not really good for the evolutionists when one of their staunchest supporters is basically admitting that the gods of geology really had an anti-God agenda without any evidence to support the same. There is however, compelling evidence that the universal solvent and substance responsible for the survival of all life, simple water, had a lot to do with today’s geology and geography:

And to top it off, geomorphologists admit the landscapes of the world were eroded and shaped by water. The Australian geomorphologist C.R. Twidale (1996, p. 49) stated, “Water is the critical factor in landform evolution on Earth”.

So, uniformitarian geomorphologists have to concede that some past unobservable process involving water carved the landscapes of the world. Increasingly, mini-catastrophes are being invoked, but the scope of these landforms suggests something much larger. (3)
So as not skirt specifics or repeat the work that has already been done in this field here are a few of the SCIENTIFIC facts that support for the Biblical flood described in the Book of Genesis:

1. There are plateaus, mesas, buttes and other isolated elevated landforms that exist throughout the world that should not be there if all the land around them had eroded over millions of years. One example of this situation is Devil’s Tower, Wyoming a 1,000 foot geologic tower of sandstone and soft shale that flies in the face of gradual erosion theories.

2. There are visible parallel sedimentary layers of rocks and soils throughout the world. The distinct parallel shape of these layers indicate placement or sedimentation out of solution by a massive flood event. The most prominent example in this country would be the Grand Canyon. Even secular geologists are forced to admit that it is highly unlikely solely the Colorado River could have caused this geologic feature.

3. Rounded rocks indicating water erosion are found both in sedimentary layers and on the surface hundreds of miles from their source in many cases. The only possible explanation for this migration and round shape is a massive worldwide flood.

4. Many fossils around the world indicate rapid burial. For example, fossilized jellyfish and other fragile marine creatures have turned up worldwide. They would have had to been buried by a rapid worldwide flooding/land displacement event or else they would have decayed or been scavenged within days. Additionally, marine fossils and remains have been found in the most unlikely places including high atop Mount Everest.

5. Many river valleys throughout the world today are much larger than the rivers that currently occupy them implying a much larger flooding event in the recent past.

6. If many geologic features experienced the current rates of erosion being observed, they would have eroded long ago. This shoots directly into the bow of uniformitarianism that states the present can be used to model the past.

7. The continental shelves that exist around every continent and are made up of layered, sedimentary material should not exist if the uniformitarian principle is true; they should have eroded away long ago.

8. There is no ‘naturalistic’ explanation for mountains outside of mere conjecture. On creationscience.com among other sources it is stated that mountains formed from buckling landforms (i.e., continents) that came to sudden rest after a worldwide deluge.

9. Old age types like to cite scientific methods like radiometric dating but unfortunately for them radiometric dating has dated recent volcanic ash as millions of years old…

These are just a few examples of the Bible and Science being close allies and the fools, God’s word, who “professing themselves to be wise” turn out to be well fools.

Now what about astronomy? This gets even worse for the big bang evolution crowd. To this “conspiracy theorist”, whose physics scores are nothing to write home about, the concept that something (NOBODY knows what) exploded and gave us not only orderly biological life here on “Mother Earth” but the orderly set-up of our solar system and the rest of space for that matter is so foolish, God’s word, that its ONLY purpose can be to eviscerate His existence. Without much surprise astrophysicist Dr. Jason Lisle sums up the mentality of “naturalistic” scientists like the aforementioned Stephen J. Gould, Richard Dawkins and the late Carl Sagan:

The Bible teaches that the entire universe was created in six days…

Yet, this is quite different from what most schools teach. Most secular scientists believe that the universe is many billions of years old and they usually hold to the big bang theory. The big bang is a secular speculation about the origin of the universe; it is an alternative to the Bible’s teaching. The big bang attempts to explain the origin of the universe without God.

People who believe in the big bang usually interpret the evidence according to their already-existing belief in the big bang. In other words, they just assume that the big bang is true; they interpret the evidence to match their beliefs. Of course, the Bible can also be used to interpret the evidence. And since the Bible records the true history of the universe, we see that it makes a lot more sense of the evidence than the big bang does.
Hmmm. This concept sounds strangely familiar. Mr. Lisle goes on to state:

Of course, big bang supporters can always reinterpret the evidence by adding extra assumptions. So, the following facts are not intended to “prove” that the Bible is right about the age of the universe. The Bible is right in all matters because it is the word of God. (4)

Now the proof God’s Word with the science of astronomy. As before, only a few FACTS will be highlighted to make the point and the reader is encouraged to view the sources provided in the endnotes at the end to his/her liking.

1. It has been demonstrated that due to gravitational forces the moon is moving away from the earth at the rate of around 1.5 inches a year. This presents a problem for the old universe crowd since this means that the moon would have been touching the earth around 1 billion years ago based on the current moon distance of about 250,000 miles. There is absolutely no evidence, tidal or otherwise, to say the moon and earth were touching so of course the Sagan crowd has to ASSUME this rate changed sometime in the past. Of course there’s that word ASSUME as in no scientific basis but a young universe implies the Bible and we can’t have that…

2. All planets, including the earth, have magnetic fields that are generated by internal electrical currents. Based on the observable decay of these magnetic fields if the universe is “billions of years old” they should no longer exist. Ummm of course we can ASSUME that the current rate of decay was much slower in the past since we cannot accept a young universe or God…

3. Our very own Milky Way is only one galaxy amongst millions and many of these other galaxies have been shown to be spinning and have spiral shapes. The problem is that at the current rate of rotation many of them should have spun themselves into non-discernable shape if the universe were “billions of years old”. Of course we can ASSUME that these rates changed in the past since we cannot accept a young universe or God…

4. Comets are quite simply shooting masses of ice and rock that travel the cosmos and at times get so close to the sun that parts of them melt forming their visible tails. The problem is that in a universe that is “billions of years old” these all should have evaporated out of existence by now. What say the “naturalists”? Well we can’t have a young universe so we’ll assume that there’s a constant replenishing source of these things and call them “oort clouds”. Of course we have NO evidence that these oort things exist but we’ll ASSUME them since we cannot accept a young universe or God…

5. Einstein’s energy equation $E=mc^2$ shows us that mass is indeed a form of energy and vice versa. Quite simply the universe is made of a limited supply of “stuff” be it mass or energy. NOBODY can answer the question where “stuff” came from in the naturalistic camp. When modern science converts energy into matter something called anti-matter always results. Very simply these are oppositely charged particles to ‘normal’ atoms. The problem for big bangers is that if the universe did indeed start out as a finite, singular point ‘out there’ that somehow exploded than there should be equal amounts of matter and anti-matter. This is definitely NOT the case and we’re still waiting for the big bangers to come with some kind of oort cloud explanation for this one…

YAWN. And on and on it goes.

Interestingly enough one physicist (and Biblical creationist) Dr. Russ Humphreys did develop a model that predicted the strength of magnetic fields of many planets and moons in our very own solar system. He based his model on a decay from a maximum strength of a young universe (i.e., around 6,000 years old). Lo and behold his numbers turned out correct in many instances including Uranus and Neptune as corroborated by the Voyager Space Craft. Now contrast this with the fool Al Gore and his sham climate models that somehow predict “catastrophic global warming” to a tenth of degree of accuracy decades out when nobody else seems to be able to predict next week’s weather like say your local weather person. And add on to this how this moron somehow missed snow in Malibu, New Orleans and Las Vegas and by multiple accounts the earth has now entered a cooling cycle and you have the makings of a very fake scientific scam covering for a very real “security issue”. Now who gets the attention of our fake corporate
media, physicist Dr. Russ Humphreys whom could really buttress the positions of the creationists OR the high priest/charlatan/scientific crackpot Al Gore and his wacko “New” Age UN-dead friends?

Speaking of knowing something before its time the Book of Job, which many claim was written before the Book of Genesis, is dated around 2000 to 1500 BC. In Job 26:7 God states:

He stretcheth out the north over the empty place and hangeth the earth upon nothing.

Now this implies, correctly, that the earth is suspended in infinite space with nothing supporting it. At this time all the other humans believed that large elephants, columns, gods, whatever supported the earth. It wasn’t until the time of Pythagoras around 500 BC that people begun to realize that the earth is indeed round and supported by…’nothing’. But I digress.

And of course we have the slight problem of so-called beneficial mutations that would have to have happened if evolution is true. Just like with the lack of evolutionary fossils as in NOT ONE has been found there is NO evidence of any kind of beneficial mutations and in fact another branch of science called Information Science blatantly contradicts this fallacious claim. In other words, information can’t generate itself. It’s as foolish, God’s word, as car parts coming together by themselves to form an automobile. Creation biologist Dr. Carl Wieland sums up the situation rather succinctly:

When we look at the inherited changes actually happening in living things, we see information either staying the same (recombining in different ways) or being corrupted or lost (mutation, extinction), but never do we see anything which could qualify as a real, informationally ‘uphill’ evolutionary change.

Isn’t that exactly what you’d expect? Information science and common sense unite to tell us that when information is transmitted (and that’s what reproduction is), it either stays the same or gets less. And meaningless ‘noise’ gets added.

Hmmm. Common sense huh. Forget that “common sense” stuff and what says “real” science? Let’s see:

Matter left to itself (as far as real, observational science goes) does not give rise to such information. The only alternative is that at some point a creative mind ‘outside the system’ imposed intelligence onto matter (as you do when you write a sentence) and programmed all the original kinds of plants and animals. The programming of the ancestors of today’s organisms must have been achieved miraculously (supernaturally), since natural law does not create information. (5)

A bit later on the author simply states, “the bottom line is that evolutionists have to believe in information having arisen by pure chance”. Sounds like blind faith to me, how about you?

Speaking of science we have the two LAWS of Thermodynamics that scream against evolution. The first simply states that matter and energy (which are related as explained) are neither created nor destroyed but simply changes form. Ask ANY ‘naturalist’ where the ‘stuff’ came from originally and you’ll get…nothing. The second LAW simply states that ‘useful’ energy is being used up and converted into non-useable form. An example of this would be the sun burning itself out. The ultimate result according to ‘naturalists’ is that the universe is heading towards ‘heat death’ which is as bad as it sounds. Of course if energy (and order itself) is decreasing it had to have a previous maximum beginning point. Who supplied the energy (and order) for this beginning point or how do we get the order of life and the universe from the disorder of a massive explosion? We dunno say the naturalists….

How about Newton’s pesky laws? Let’s just look at the first. Simply stated it says that any object at rest will remain at rest and any object in motion will stay in that motion unless acted on by an outside force. Let’s take a look at the cosmic egg that started it all. If we are to believe the ‘naturalists’ this magical egg exploded (don’t even bother asking Who supplied this energy as explained above) and voila…the Universe pops out. Now leaving common sense behind what would happen if you put a stick of dynamite inside an egg? The egg pieces would shatter and take off in all directions linearly until the energy supplied by the
dynamite ‘wore off’ due to air resistance and would fall to the earth (or splatter against the wall if done inside). Now naturalists want us to believe that somehow the big bang explosion was different since things like the earth rotating around the sun (not to mention the millions of other spinning galaxies out there) don’t really have to conform to this basic law of physics. In other words, what supplied the resistance to cause the earth to rotate as opposed to just keeping on going and going and going. And besides air itself didn’t exist before the big bang so what caused this resistance to begin with? Are we saying that air moved ahead of ‘spaceship earth’ for this to happen? This among the myriad of other problems here cannot be answered without conjecture, new scientific theory, etc. etc. In a word, ASSUMPTIONS.

Now does the Bible answer some of these questions including the invention of time itself? Sure it does, if you believe that sort of thing.

In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him, and without him was not any thing made that was made. (John 1:1-3)

Thus saith the Lord, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when waves therof roar: the LORD of hosts is his name (Jeremiah 31:35)

This next one addresses the fact of the expanding universe (EVERYONE agrees that the universe is in fact expanding):

It is he that sitteth upon the circle of the earth, and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers; that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain, and spreadeth them as a tent to dwell in. (Isaiah 40:22)

In conclusion, humor yourself for a moment. If the aforementioned hasn’t convinced you because of philosophical reasons like how could God allow this evil and that evil, etc. Now I’m not going to be so presumptuous as to say I know the mind of God but take a look at this verse from the Book of Proverbs:

The foolishness of man perverteth his way: and his heart fretteth against the LORD. (19:3)

We have established God’s calling a fool anyone that doesn’t see His reality with something called general revelation (that yours truly likes to call common sense). Now think about all the effort of very intelligent brains pursuing fake geology, evolution, the fake global warming scam, etc. etc. Now what happens when we get hit with the next plague? Are we going to blame God when we’ve wasted all this time and energy trying to prove Him out of existence when we could have been studying problems like deadly plagues, which most scientists have said we’re overdue for? What about the Black Plague? In “The THEorY of LIVEvolution” the case is made that mankind did indeed have advanced technology in the past (the “Great” Pyramids at Giza and the very accurate Mayan calendar are but two examples of many) but what did we choose? All kinds of freakish cross genetic experiments, the pursuance of trying to be God, the real One just like Lucifer, the “Angel of Light” convinced Eve in the beginning and what scientists are pursuing TODAY in the disturbing and emerging field of “genetic research” (see RaidersNewsNetwork.com). My friends this site concentrates almost exclusively on the economic/One World Government/World War III situation and does not address things like plagues and natural disasters that are part of the ‘End Times’ as well.

I will say it again, if you get nothing else out of this whole web site, get on God’s, the real One’s, simple salvation plan with the link on the home page.

And God, the real One, help us all…

Endnotes and Sources (I encourage the reader to pick one or two to confirm independently this information):
2. Ibid, p. 31.

Other sources:

Don Batten Ph.D. and Jonathan Sarfati Ph.D., “15 Reasons to Take Genesis as History”, (Brisbane, Australia: Creation Ministries International, 2006).


Dr. Walter Brown, CreationScience.com (This site answers other questions like dinosaurs in addition to further supplementing the information provided here).

RaidersNewsNetwork.com. See what the Godless physicists are dreaming up in the disturbing world of “transhumanism”, Terminator like robotics among other frightening scenarios…